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## Motivation

- Chart the Landscape of string vacua with half-maximal supersymmetry (16 supercharges).
- Understand what is allowed in theories of quantum gravity in this regime. Relevant for Swampland program, but also basic question in String theory.


## Motivation

- Chart the Landscape of string vacua with half-maximal supersymmetry (16 supercharges).
- Understand what is allowed in theories of quantum gravity in this regime. Relevant for Swampland program, but also basic question in String theory.

For $d \geq 7$, this problem was almost solved in [de Boer et al. 2001], using asymmetric orbifolds of heterotic strings, Type II orientifolds and F-Theory on geometric orbifolds.

We will see that there were missing components (moduli spaces), predicted with Frozen singularities and explained with discrete theta angles.
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## Known Moduli Spaces

## Heterotic Strings

Heterotic strings have 16 supercharges in 10D. Compactifying on $T^{d}$ gives rise to the moduli space

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{d, d+16}\right) \backslash O(d, d+16) / O(d) \times O(d+16) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \\
& \text {Even self-dual (Narain) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Moduli are internal components of metric, B -field and Wilson lines.
Narain lattice encodes winding number, momenta and charges wrt gauge lattice for e.g. $\mathrm{E}_{8} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{E}_{8}$.

## Heterotic Strings

One can orbifold by the $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}$ symmetry exhanging E8's in

$$
\Gamma_{d, d+16}=\Gamma_{d, d} \oplus E_{8} \oplus E_{8}
$$

together with a half-shift in S1

to get the (rank reduced!) CHL string

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{(d, d+8)}\right) \backslash O(d, d+8) / O(d) \times O(d+8) \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \quad \text { Reven, not self-dual } \quad \text { Rank d+8 }
$$

## Heterotic Strings

In 7D can construct other orbifolds (heterotic holonomy triples) [de Boer et al. 2001] of order 3,4,5,6.
We have six moduli spaces with heterotic descriptions

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{(3,19-r)}\right) \backslash O(3,19-r) / O(3) \times O(19-r) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

Charge lattice
with rank reduction

$$
r=0,8,12,14,16,16
$$

## Heterotic Strings

In 7D can construct other orbifolds (heterotic holonomy triples) [de Boer et al. 2001] of order 3,4,5,6.
We have six moduli spaces with heterotic descriptions

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{(3,19-r)}\right) \backslash O(3,19-r) / O(3) \times O(19-r) \times \mathbb{R}^{+}
$$

Charge lattice
with rank reduction

$$
r=0,8,12,14,16,16
$$

The rank reduced charge lattices turn out to be orthogonal complements of

$$
2 D_{4}, 2 E_{6}, 2 E_{7}, 2 E_{8}, 2 E_{8}
$$

in Narain lattice.

## F-Theory description

These six heterotic theories are dual to F-theory compactified on

$$
\left(\mathrm{K} 3 \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{n}, \quad n=1,2, \ldots, 6
$$

But there are four more possibilities...

$$
\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{n}, \quad n=2,3,4,6
$$

With rank reduction

$$
r=16,18,18,18
$$

Their charge lattices are (roughly) orthogonal complements of

$$
4 D_{4}, 3 E_{6}, 2 E_{7} \oplus D_{4}, D_{4} \oplus E_{6} \oplus E_{8}
$$

## Decompactification to 8D and 9D

## CHL String M-Theory on Klein Bottle Type I' with O8+/O8-

| 9D | $E_{8}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 8D | $D_{8}$ |
| $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |
| 7 D | $2 D_{4}$ |

Other moduli spaces do not have higher dimensional versions

## Decompactification to 8D and 9D




Other moduli spaces do not have higher dimensional versions But there are also new components with 3E6' and (2E7+D4)'

## Frozen Singularities on K3

## M-Theory on a K3 Surface (7D, 16 supercharges)

Complex str. moduli space of K3:

$$
\mathcal{M}=\underset{\text { Mid. cohom. lattice }}{O\left(\Gamma_{3,19}\right) \backslash O(3,19) / O(3) \times O(19)}
$$

Generically, K3 is smooth, but develops ADE singularities at the boundary of moduli space.

## M-Theory on a K3 Surface (7D, 16 supercharges)

Complex str. moduli space of K3:

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{3,19}\right) \backslash O(3,19) / O(3) \times O(19)
$$

Mid. cohom. lattice
Generically, K3 is smooth, but develops ADE singularities at the boundary of moduli space.

For certain singularities, the 3-form field can be turned on such that they become frozen.
[de Boer et al. 2001]
Singularity freezing is a discrete operation yielding vacua in other moduli spaces. It encodes every known moduli space and predicts new ones!

## Some intuition from Type IIA orientifold

Type IIA orientifold in 7D has 8 O6- planes, at the corners of the cube T3/Z2, and 32 D6 branes.

A stack $\mathrm{O6}^{-}+8 \mathrm{D} 6$ gives $\mathrm{SO}(8)$ and lifts to $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ singularity in M -Theory K3. Two of these can be traded each for an $\mathrm{O6}^{+}$plane (same charge and tension). They lift to frozen $2 \mathrm{D}_{4}$.

## Some intuition from Type IIA orientifold

Type IIA orientifold in 7D has 8 O6- planes, at the corners of the cube T3/Z2, and 32 D6 branes.

A stack $\mathrm{O6}^{-}+8 \mathrm{D} 6$ gives $\mathrm{SO}(8)$ and lifts to $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ singularity in M-Theory K3. Two of these can be traded each for an $\mathrm{O6}^{+}$plane (same charge and tension). They lift to frozen $2 \mathrm{D}_{4}$.
Resulting moduli space:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{2 D_{4}}=O\left(\Gamma_{(3,11)}\right) \backslash O(3,11) / O(3) \times O(11)
$$

Alternatively, freeze $4 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{4 D_{4}}=O\left(\Gamma_{(3,3)}\right) \backslash O(3,3) / O(3) \times O(3)
$$

## General picture

Frozen singularities can be of type:

$$
D_{4}, E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}
$$

Consistent combinations (from duality) are:

$$
2 D_{4} 2 E_{6}, 2 E_{7}, 2 E_{8}, \stackrel{4}{4} D_{4}, 3 E_{6}, 2 E_{7}+D_{4} \stackrel{1}{2}+D_{6}+E_{8}
$$

However... encircled combinations come in two inequivalent ways, each one defining a different moduli space.

## Inequivalent configurations of same ADE type

Possible configurations of ADE singularities are encoded in the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lattice as Euclidean sublattices W. Classified in [Fraiman, HPF 2021].

The ADE type is given by the roots (norm 2 vectors) in $W$. But $W$ is in general a weight lattice including fundamental weights.

## Inequivalent configurations of same ADE type

Possible configurations of ADE singularities are encoded in the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ lattice as Euclidean sublattices W. Classified in [Fraiman, HPF 2021].

The ADE type is given by the roots (norm 2 vectors) in $W$. But $W$ is in general a weight lattice including fundamental weights. For example,

$$
\Gamma_{S p i n}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{3,19}
$$

has ADE type $\mathrm{D}_{16}$, but so does

$$
\Gamma_{S p i n}(32) \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{3,19}
$$

The first example has elements in spinor class. The second only has roots.

## Inequivalent configurations of same ADE type

Combination $4 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ from before has

$$
W=\Gamma_{\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}}
$$

Freezing reduces lattice of charges of M 2 states:

$$
\Gamma_{3,19} \rightarrow \Gamma_{(3,3)}=W^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{2,2}(2)
$$



## Inequivalent configurations of same ADE type

Combination $4 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ from before has

$$
W=\Gamma_{\operatorname{Spin}(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}}
$$

Freezing reduces lattice of charges of M 2 states:

$$
\Gamma_{3,19} \rightarrow \Gamma_{(3,3)}=W^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{2,2}(2)
$$



But there exists alternative $4 D_{4}$ combination with

$$
W^{\prime}=\Gamma_{S p i n}(8)^{4} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \hookrightarrow \Gamma_{3,19}
$$

And inequivalent charge lattice

$$
\Gamma_{(3,3)}^{\prime}=\Gamma_{3,3}(2)
$$



## Inequivalent configurations of same ADE type

Each moduli space has one point of maximal gauge symmetry:

$$
G=S U(2)^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}, \quad G^{\prime}=S U(2)^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

Gauge algebras are equal. Topology differs, due to difference in massive states.

## Inequivalent configurations of same ADE type

Each moduli space has one point of maximal gauge symmetry:

$$
G=S U(2)^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}, \quad G^{\prime}=S U(2)^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

Gauge algebras are equal. Topology differs, due to difference in massive states. The story is similar for $3 \mathrm{E}_{6}$ and $2 \mathrm{E}_{7}+\mathrm{D}_{4}$.

$$
\begin{array}{rrrl}
\Gamma_{4 D_{4}}^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{2,2}(2), & \Gamma_{4 D_{4}}^{\prime \perp}=\Gamma_{1,1}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{2,2}(2) \\
\Gamma_{3 E_{6}}^{\perp}=A_{2}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}, & \Gamma_{3 E_{6}}^{\prime \perp}=A_{2}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(3) \\
\Gamma_{D_{4}+2 E_{7}}^{\perp}=2 A_{1}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}, & \Gamma_{D_{4}+2 E_{7}}^{\prime \perp}=2 A_{1}(-1) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(2)
\end{array}
$$

Rank 3

Rank 1

Rank 1

Scaling ( n ) of sublattice: quantization of some charge changes from $\mathbf{Z}$ to nZ .

## 8 and 9 dimensions

## Eight dimensions: F-Theory on elliptic K3

The complex str. Moduli space is

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{2,18}\right) \backslash O(2,18) / O(2) \times O(18) \quad \text { Rank } 18
$$

At the boundaries, elliptic fibers become singular. This is encoded in Euclidean sublattices $W$ as before. Classified in [Shimada 2000; Fraiman et al 2020].

## Eight dimensions: F-Theory on elliptic K3

The complex str. Moduli space is

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{2,18}\right) \backslash O(2,18) / O(2) \times O(18) \quad \text { Rank } 18
$$

At the boundaries, elliptic fibers become singular. This is encoded in Euclidean sublattices $W$ as before. Classified in [Shimada 2000].
Singularities of type $\mathrm{D}_{8}$ can be frozen, corresponding to $07^{+}$orientifold planes. But again, there are inequivalent $2 \mathrm{D}_{8}$ 's.

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\Gamma_{\text {Spin }(16)^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}, & W^{\prime}=\Gamma_{\text {Spin }(16)^{2}} \\
W^{\perp}=\Gamma_{1,1} \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(2), & W^{\perp} & =\Gamma_{1,1}(2) \oplus \Gamma_{1,1}(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Nine dimensions: Real elliptic K3

Geometry of real elliptic K3 encodes nonperturbative data of Type I'.
Moduli space is:
[Cachazo,Vafa 2000]

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{1,17}\right) \backslash O(1,17) / O(1) \times O(17) \quad \text { Rank }=17
$$

## Nine dimensions: Real elliptic K3

Geometry of real elliptic K3 encodes nonperturbative data of Type I'.
Moduli space is:
[Cachazo,Vafa 2000]

$$
\mathcal{M}=O\left(\Gamma_{1,17}\right) \backslash O(1,17) / O(1) \times O(17) \quad \text { Rank }=17
$$

I propose that $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ singularities admit frozen versions. The latter [HPF 2022] correspond to $\mathrm{O8}^{+}$orientifold planes.

There are inequivalent $\mathrm{D}_{16}$ 's.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
W & =\Gamma_{\operatorname{Spin}(32) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}}, & W^{\prime} & =\Gamma_{\operatorname{Spin}(32)} \\
W^{\perp} & =\Gamma_{1,1}, & W^{\prime \perp}=\Gamma_{1,1}(2)
\end{array}
$$

## Ordering of O-planes is not enough

In eight dimensions all orderings of O-planes in T2/Z2 are equivalent.


And trivially so in nine dimensions (S1/Z2).


## Ordering of O-planes is not enough

In eight dimensions all orderings of O-planes in T2/Z2 are equivalent.


And trivially so in nine dimensions (S1/Z2).


There must exist a different mechanism producing the alternative vacua.

Answer: inequivalent projections of RR field when constructing the orientifold.

## Discrete Theta Angles

## Example: Type I String

The Type I string $=$ Type IIB/ $\Omega$, and $\quad \Omega: \mathrm{C}_{0} \rightarrow-\mathrm{C}_{0}$, so we set

$$
C_{0}=0
$$

## Example: Type I String

The Type I string $=$ Type IIB/ $\Omega$, and $\quad \Omega: \mathrm{C}_{0} \rightarrow-\mathrm{C}_{0}$, so we set

$$
C_{0}=0
$$

But axion is periodic up to gauge symmetry ( $\mathrm{C}_{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{0}+1$ ) Another consistent choice is

$$
C_{0}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

This could give in principle a new theory in 10D [Sethi 2013], but it actually does not.

## Type I' explanation

Compactify Type I on a circle and T-dualize to Type I' with two 08- planes and 32 D8 branes. Potentially new theory has

$$
\theta=\int_{S^{1}} C_{1}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

## Type I' explanation

Compactify Type I on a circle and T-dualize to Type I' with two O8- planes and 32 D8 branes. Potentially new theory has

$$
\theta=\int_{S^{1}} C_{1}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

A domain wall for theta can be constructed with a D8 and anti-D8 pair. But the presence of D8 branes makes it unstable [Bergman et al. 2013].




## New theories

We can trade O8+32D8 for $\mathrm{O8}^{+}$. Since there are no D8 branes, the domain wall is stable! The new predicted theory is distinguished by the value of theta. Discrete theta angles do produce new string theories!

## New theories

We can trade O8+32D8 for $\mathrm{O8}^{+}$. Since there are no D8 branes, the domain wall is stable! The new predicted theory is distinguished by the value of theta. Discrete theta angles do produce new string theories!
There are other theories dual to Type I' with O8 and O8 $^{+}$: [Aharony et al. 2007]

- T-Dual to Dabholkar-Park background of Type IIB.
- DP is S-dual to Type IIB Asymmetric Orbifold.

These also admit discrete theta angles.


## Dabholkar-Park background

The DP background is constructed from Type IIB on $S^{1}$ by gauging

$$
\text { Half-shift } x^{9} \rightarrow x^{9}+\frac{1}{2}
$$

## $\Omega \circ \delta$

There are no fixed planes, hence no branes. Also has

$$
C_{0}=0
$$

T-dual to $\mathrm{O8}^{+} / \mathrm{O} 8^{-}$:


## Dabholkar-Park background'

$$
\tau \rightarrow \tau+1
$$

Turning on $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ : gauge the symmetry

## $\Omega T \circ \delta$

2-form fields transform nontrivially

$$
\binom{C_{2}}{B_{2}} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{C_{2}}{B_{2}}=\binom{C_{2}+B_{2}}{-B_{2}}
$$

$$
\tilde{C}_{2}=B_{2}+2 C_{2}
$$

Invariant 2-form

## Dabholkar-Park background'

$$
\tau \rightarrow \tau+1
$$

Turning on $\mathrm{C}_{0}$ : gauge the symmetry

## $\Omega T \circ \delta$

2-form fields transform nontrivially

$$
\binom{C_{2}}{B_{2}} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{C_{2}}{B_{2}}=\binom{C_{2}+B_{2}}{-B_{2}} \quad \tilde{C}_{2}=B_{2}+2 C_{2}
$$

D1 brane acquires F1 string charge, NO LONGER BPS.

$$
\int p B_{2}+q C_{2}=\int \frac{q}{2} \tilde{C}_{2}+\left(p-\frac{q}{2}\right) B_{2}
$$

$(0,1)$

$(1,1)$

D1 $=(0,1)$ string is not BPS, has 2 -form charge $=1 / 2$ $(p, q)=(1,2)$ string is BPS with 2 -form charge $=1$
$\rightarrow$ Spectrum of strings is not BPS complete.
$(1,2)$


## AOB and new moduli space

Similar story for AOB:

$$
(-1)^{F_{L}} \circ \delta \quad \text { vs } \quad(-1)^{F_{L}} T \circ \delta
$$

## AOB and new moduli space

Similar story for AOB:

$$
(-1)^{F_{L}} \circ \delta \quad \text { vs } \quad(-1)^{F_{L}} T \circ \delta
$$

S-duality is now $\quad g_{s} \rightarrow \frac{2}{g_{s}} \quad$ but overall picture is the same.


## Seven dimensions

Theta angles can be turned on in F-Theory on

$$
\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{n}, \quad n=2,3,4
$$

From Type IIB point of view: compactify on Bieberbach manifold
(Riemann flat quotient of torus)
Geometry of background admits nontrivial values for theta angles

$$
\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \equiv\left(\int_{T^{2}} C_{2}, \int_{T^{2}} B_{2}\right)
$$

E.g. $(1 / 3,-1 / 3)$ for $n=3, \quad(1 / 2,1 / 2)$ for $n=4 \quad$ (Not for $n=6$ )

Prediction from frozen singularities is realized.
BPS string spectrum again incomplete.

## Gauge Symmetries

Possible gauge groups computed in [Fraiman, HPF 2022]

| F-theory background | Max. Enhancements | Alternative ver. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $S U(2)_{2}^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ | $S U(2)_{2}^{3} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $S U(2)_{3}$ | $S U(2)_{3}$ |
| $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $S U(2)_{2}, S U(2)_{4}$ | $S U(2)_{2}, S U(2)_{4}$ |
| $\left(T^{4} \times S^{1}\right) / \mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $S U(2)_{2}, S U(2)_{3}, S U(2)_{6}$ | - |

Results for $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{2}}$ level match analysis of [Montero, HPF 2022].
Difference in massive states is clearer in lower dimensions.
Example:
$S U(3) / Z 2$ vs $S U(3)$

## Conclusions

$\checkmark$ Frozen singularities encode every moduli space in $d=7,8,9$ with $N=1$
$\checkmark$ Discrete theta angles can yield new string theories (differ at massive level)
$\checkmark$ QG with 16 supercharges can have incomplete BPS string spectrum

## To do...

$\square$ Generalize Bieberbach manifold analysis to lower dimensions
$\square$ Do worldsheet analysis of new theories
$\square$ Understand better frozen singularity picture (also e.g. in $d=6, N=(1,0)$ )
[Bhardwaj et al. 2018]

## Thanks for you attention!

